G.O. No. 1863

Dated 13th October 1945.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Public Servants - (South Kanara) - Taluk Head Tahsildar, Coondapur, Inclusion in the list of Petition - Orders passed.

Confidential.



Revenue Department

Subject.-

Syed Muhammad Sahib M - (South Kanara) - Taluk Head Quarters Deputy Tahsildar, Coondapoor- Inclusion in the list of Deputy Tahsildars- Petition to Government.

- - - -

memorialist is working reports that he has been doing good work. As he will be completing 40 years before the next list is submitted to the Board in May 1946 his case may perhaps be reviewed sympathetically.

From the Collector of South Kanara No.10814/45 dated 11th September - 1945.

Reference

Submitted to the Government.

2. The petitioner's case for inclusion in the list of Deputy Tahsildars was considered twice in 1944 and 1945 and he was ordered to wait. The last decision was in June 1945. The Board considers that there is no case for reconsideration now.

To the Secretary to the Government; Revenue department

.th a petition and personal file.

The Secretary to
The Government of Madras
Revenue Department.

The Secretary to
The Commissioner for Land Revenue & Irrigation,
Board of Revenue, Madras.
and

The Collector of South Kanara
Mangalore.
The Revenue Divisional Officer, Coondapur &
The Tahsildar, Coondapur.

Humble memorial of
M. Sayed Mohammad Sa
Head Qurts. Deputy Tahsildar
Coondapur.

Most respectfully sheweth,

That the humble memorialist begs leave to prefer this memorial to the Government in the hope that his hard case would be treated with kindness and generosity and that he would receive from the hands of the Government that justice which he feels has so far been denied him.

2. That the memorialist is a member of the Mohammaden Community and has been holding the post of a Deputy Tahsildar since about a year and a half and is fully qualified for being invested with Magisterial powers through having passed all the prescribed tests. He is now 39 years' old and will be completing the 40th year in February, 1946. He learns that in 1944 and 1945 two successive reports were submitted by two successive Collectors on the recommendation of two Revenue Divisional officers recommending the inclusion of his name along with other qualified candidates in the list of Deputy Tahsildars, but unluckily for him, the Board of Revenue omitted his name while submitting proposals to the Government on both of these occassions. The next list is due to the Government in 1946 in the month of May after this memorialist attains the age of 40 years and it would then be a question of seeking exemption from the age limit.

3. That the memorialist considers that it will not be out of place to give a few details relating to his service in the Revenue Department on which his claims to higher posts are based.

(a) He completed his Revenue Inspector's 1941 and was promoted as Upper Division clerk and was reverted from the field. In order to give him magisterial training, he was ordered to work in the Revenue Divisional office. While working as Revenue Inspector, he collected Rs.700/- for the Taluk Raffle and Rs.285/- for District Raffle, in aid of His Excellency the Governor's War Fund and was granted a certificat of appreciation by the Collector (vide a copy enclosed).

(b) In the capacity of a Deputy Tahsildar he had collected Rs.43,300 for Victory Loan and Rs.12,000 for National Savings Certificates, Rs.700/- for War Fund and has sent up more than one dozen recruits. In recognition of these services, the Col- was pleased to sanction a reward of Rs.20/- (vide copy enclosed)

(c) In addition to the duties of a Head Quarters Deput Tahsildar, he has, by arranging raids in certain villages procur within a short period more than 1000 muras of rice and this work has met with the appreciation of the Revenue Divisional officer and the Collector.

4. That the memorialist begs to point out that he was promoted to the Upper Division long before the 9 candidates who have been invested with magisterial powers in 1944 and 1945.

Some of these are Lower Division clerks 6 Muslim Vacancies have been lost within the last 3 or 4 years for want of qualifi candidates and according to the communal G.O the claims of -- qualified candidates like the memorialist should have been cons dered and as the memorialist is already holding the post of a Deputy Tahsildar , his case deserved sympathetic consideration. The memorialist fears that if his case is not considered immediately there is every likelihodd of his being reverted from his present post which he has been holding from the last 1½ years by these 9 juniors referred to above.

5. That in conclusion the memorialist prays that the Governmer may be benevolently pleased to consider the need of rescuing a fully qualified hard working and honest Revenue subordinate from the operation of the age limit and of investing him with magisterial powers in the current year so that he may start his probation along with his other colleagues in the department:

von Syed Muhammad Sahib, Taluk
Head Asst. of Tahsildar, Coondapur, S. Kanara
Petition dt 14.8.45.
From the Board of Rev. Proc. No. D.
4816/45-1 (confdl) dt 26.9.45

G.O. Ms. No. 1863 dt. 13.10.45

The Govt. Consider, that, in
view of the petitioner's age and the
paucity of Muhammadans in
higher ranks in the S. Kanara
it will be better to include ~~the~~ the
~~petitioner's~~ name in the approved list

of Dy. Tahsildars for the ~~Board~~
The Board is requested to take action as
~~The Board is requested to take~~

2) The petitioner is referred to the Board of Rev. for orders on his petn.

11.10.45 11.10.45 12/10/45 12/X/45

The D. of Rev.
(with personal file returned)

The petnr. (para 2 only. thro' the D. of Rev.

Public servants - (S. Kanara). Syed Muhammad Sahib M. - Taluk Head Quarters Dy. Tahsildar, Coondapoor. Inclusion in the list of Dy. Tahsildars. Petn. from the Board of Rev. Ref. No. D. 4816/45-1 (Confdl) of 26.9.45

To W.S.:

Please see the petition pp 3-4 c.f. and the Board's reference on pp 1-2 c.f.

Selection of candidates for inclusion in the approved list of Dy. Tahsildars is made by the Board of Rev. The Board has stated that the petitioner's case for inclusion in the list of Dy. Tahrs. was considered twice - in 1944 and 1945 and was ordered to wait. The Board has not stated the reasons on which its orders were based. Can we have it from the Board on the subject.

3 The petitioner will be completing 40 years before the next selection is made. If the petitioner's name is to be included in the approved list now, relaxation of the rules will not be necessary. The point for consideration is whether the Govt. will interfere on behalf of the petnr. In this connection attention is invited to the correspondence and the notes in the top file.

4. It is reported that the petnr. is holding the post of a Dy. Tahr. Presumably he has been appointed under Rule 3-A of the Madras Rev. Subr. Ser. Rules. It has been proposed to empower the Board of Rev. to regularise

appointments made under Rule 3-A [illegible] C:P.O. provided they have put in 2 years service under Rule
~~provided~~ the Board is satisfied about the work of the officer ~~under certain conditions~~. The file has been circulated on 1.10.45 with a draft order. X

2. For orders whether Govt will interfere on behalf of the petnr. and ask the Board to include his name in the approved list.

The personal file of the petnr. is submitted below (in sealed cover)

There is only one Muhammadan ... DO. 10 on p. 2 and DO 1 and 8 on p. 11 of the estt. list for (dist. B. II)

permt. and Dy. Tahr. among 10 permanent new and 2 among 19 probationers and approved probationers (in S. Kanara) i.e. a total of 3 out of 29. whereas the communal ratio is 2 out of 12. There is [illegible] room for one more

1/10/45 S

4/10/45 S

on P16 P.F. are B4 the latest reports.

We may perhaps leave the Board to consider his case in the next list. He will not-

quite on his age will not- come in. His name under the proposed rule referred to at X above.

In view of his age the [illegible] of a Muhammadan in higher rank (or SK) I think it would be better to include his name. He'll probably be good enough to hold down a DI post or he is holding one already